Village of Lansing 1 2 Planning Board Meeting Minutes of Tuesday 3 4 June 10, 2019 5 The meeting of the Village of Lansing Planning Board was called to order at 7:01 PM by Chair, 6 Lisa Schleelein. 7 8 9 Present at the meeting: Planning Board Members; Lisa Schleelein, Mike Baker, Carolyn 10 Greenwald, James McCauley, Tony Ingraffea, Monica Moll; Code Enforcement Officer, Mike 11 Scott; Village Attorney, William Troy, Village Trustee Liaison, Patricia O'Rourke; Marty 12 Mosley; Mayor Donald Hartill; Planning Board Engineer, Brent Cross; Dan Veaner of Lansing 13 Star, Katrina Mederios, Attorney from Thaler and Thaler; Jim Bold of Bold Associates and Eric 14 Goetzmann of Arrowhead Ventures, and approximately 4 additional person. 15 16 Public Comment Period Schleelein opened the public comment period at 7:02pm. 17 18 19 Shawn Dollaway of 150 Burdick Hill Road stated that he had to call the police because of a loud graduation party being held at the Event Center next to his house on Saturday night. Dollaway 21 asked if the Village had a noise ordinance or if the Village could implement one. Schleelein 22 stated that it is difficult because of zoning. Greenwald asked Scott if something could be done. 23 Scott stated that there is a certain process that needs to be taken, sending them a letter is the first step in the process. 25 26 Mary Hudson of 134 Burdick Hill Road, who lives next door to Dollaway, stated that the owners 27 of 154 Burdick Hill Road rent their house out through Airbnb. There's a lot of traffic and cars in 28 the driveway and down the road. Dollaway asked if that was a violation. Dollaway stated that he 29 had pictures. Scott stated that he would like to look at them. 30 31 Ingraffea stated that they are treating it as a commercial business and there are zoning laws for 32 that. Scott stated after the letter is sent, they will have time to respond and then the Village will go from there. Greenwald suggested to the homeowners to talk to the owners of 154 Burdick Hill 34 Road and try to resolve the noise issues. 35 36 Schleelein reiterated that Scott will send the letter and will wait to hear the response from the homeowners before moving forward. Schleelein thanked them for coming. 38 39 With no one else wishing to speak McCauley moved to close the public comment period. Seconded by Greenwald. 41 42 AYES: Schleelein, Baker, Moll, Greenwald, McCauley and Ingraffea 43 44 Continue Public Hearing for Minor Subdivision

Butler RP3, LLC, representing Thaler & Thaler, PC. is seeking approval from the Planning Board for a minor subdivision. The "minor subdivision" is a basic lot line move and will not create a new lot. The property located at 1583 East Shore Drive (Tax parcel #42.1-1-40.1),

48 currently has 9.47 acres. The neighboring property (Tax parcel #42.1-1-40.2) currently has 22.14 acres, which would have 14.6 acres with the lot line change. 49 50 51 Schleelein read the Butler minor subdivision resolution that had been amended. Schleelein stated that it mentions the lot line move, which does not create a new lot and was classified as a minor change. Schleelein stated it's a type II action and no EAF is required. Schleelein entertained a motion to close the public hearing and asked for a motion to approve the resolution. 54 55 VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION 56 57 FOR MINOR SUBDIVISION APPROVAL OF BUTLER RP3, LLC 58 **BASIC LOT LINE MOVE FOR SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 4283** 59 **ADOPTED ON JUNE 10, 2019** Motion made by: Monica Moll 61 Motion seconded by: Michael Baker 62 WHEREAS: A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Special Permit No. 4283 63 for Minor Subdivision approval by Butler RP3, LLC, which as owner of the Tax Parcel 64 identified herein, seeks approval to move a basic lot line that will not create a new lot at 65 1583 East Shore Drive (Tax Parcel #42.1-1-40.1), which currently has 9.47 acres, but that lot 66 67 would contain 16.94 acres if the application is granted, and the neighboring property (Tax 68 Parcel #42.1-1-40.2), which currently has 22.14 acres, and would contain 14.6 acres if the 69 application is granted. 70 B. On June 10, 2019, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in accordance with Section 125-7 of 71 the Village of Lansing Code, (i) reviewed the sketch plan submitted with respect to this proposed action; and (ii) classified the proposed subdivision as a minor subdivision; and 72 C. The Planning Board finds this to be a Type II action for SEQR purposes; and 73 74 D. On June 10, 2019, the Village of Lansing Planning Board thoroughly reviewed and analyzed 75 (i) the proposed final subdivision plat and accompanying materials and information

presented by and on behalf of the applicant in support of this proposed action, including information and materials related to environmental issues, if any, which the Board deemed

necessary or appropriate for its review; (ii) all other information and materials rightfully

before the Board; and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised

in the course of the Board's deliberations;

76

77 78

79

81 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Based upon all of its foregoing review and action, it is hereby determined by the Village of Lansing Planning Board that approval of the proposed Butler RP3, LLC Lot Line Adjustment on is **GRANTED**,
 - The Chairperson of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby authorized and directed to sign the Final Plat for the approved subdivision in accordance with the Village of Lansing Code.
- 88 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows: Monica Moll/Michael Baker
- 89 AYES: Lisa Schleelein, Michael Baker, Monica Moll, Jim McCauley and Carolyn Greenwald
- 90 NAYS: None

85

86 87

91 The motion was declared to be carried.

92 Informal Proposal of a Zoning Change

- 93 As requested by the Board of Trustees: Review, consider and submit a recommendation to the
- 94 Board of Trustees about a proposed zoning change for properties located at 2343 (Tax Parcel
- 95 #47.1-3-5) and 2329 (Tax parcel# 47.1-3-6) North Triphammer Road. The request would allow
- 96 for the above parcels to change from commercial Low Traffic (CLT) to Commercial Medium
- 97 Traffic (CMT).
- 98 Marty Mosley is requesting to re-zone two properties from CLT to CMT. Moseley stated that the
- 99 traffic plan presented is in the zoning guidelines and put together the list of uses. He also
- 100 submitted additional information for the Board and the possible SEQRA if the Planning Board
- 101 would consider it. Greenwald asked what businesses are in this area. Schleelein stated that the
- 102 one parcel is a dentist office. Schleelein stated her concern is it is currently zoned for commercial
- 103 but, in the future, there could be potential for residential and this may not be the right time to
- 104 rezone this area. Schleelein is not comfortable passing this at this time. Scott stated that the CLT
- 105 District is for mixed use and CMT District is for business use.
- 106 Schleelein would like more information regarding this and asked the Planning Board for
- 107 feedback. Baker agreed with the timing and wondered if the uses could change in the future as
- 108 well. Everyone agreed the need to look into the zoning.
- 109 A motion was made to review with the Board of Trustees.
- 110 Greenwald moved the motion and seconded by Baker.
- 111 AYES: Schleelein, Moll, Baker, Greenwald, McCauley and Ingraffea

112

113 Public Hearing for Special Permit #4258

- 114 Eric Goetzmann, representing Arrowhead Ventures, is proposing a change to the Residential
- 115 Units of Area B in the Lansing Meadows PDA located on Oakcrest Road (Tax Parcel #47.1-1-
- 116 17.21). The Planning Board will review this proposal (Special Permit #4258) to determine
- 117 whether it is a major or minor change from the approved site plan.
- 118 Schleelein read from the statement. Bold stated that the packet with which everyone was
- 119 provided with was the final plan which is 12 units. Bold feels that this will not impact the
- 120 decision of a major or minor project and the SEQRA has already been done. Bold asked the
- 121 Board for review and comments. Baker and Greenwald both agreed that there was no need for
- 122 SEQRA for the plan of 12 units. Bold stated that the plan still includes utility relocation,
- drainage in the back, a smaller pond area, eight additional parking spaces and now one way road
- 124 instead of the original two-way road. The 12 units are now triplexes instead of duplexes for
- 125 which there is a special permit is for.

126

- 127 Schleelein asked Bold what the blank spaces are on the floor plan. Bold stated that it was the
- 128 grass area. Greenwald asked Scott if the PDA would allow for more units in the future. Scott
- stated that more units could be added with a special permit. Bold said the original PDA-A2 was
- 130 determined residential which is on page 145038. Schleelein asked how far apart the units would
- 131 be. Bold stated that the units would be fifteen feet apart and facing outward.

132

- 133 Bold asked if anyone had other questions. Baker asked about giving back the funds to the IDA
- and what their plan is if that happens. Bold said if these units are completed before the deadline
- date of July 31, 2020 they don't have to pay back the funds. Schleelein asked for a copy of the 136 IDA.

137

- 138 Schleelein stated she made a call to the Army Corp of Engineers and the conversation lead to the
- 139 permit that had expired in March. When Schleelein asked if everything had been completed she
- 140 was told that Arrowhead had to reapply but this should not prevent construction. Schleelein
- 141 asked about the wetland areas. Bold assured her it is part of the original wetland area and has
- 142 been modified and the new boundary is in the plan with negotiations with the Army Corp of
- 143 Engineers. Schleelein pointed out that the new plan shows the units being cramped and too close
- 144 together. Schleelein asked why they did not space them out more with the open space. Bold
- 145 stated that the area could be potential for a few more units in the future. Schleelein wants them
- 146 spaced out more and all of the infrastructure in.

147

- 148 Cross stated there are three permits needed:
- 149 1) Army Corp of Engineers
- 150 2) The Specials Permit from the Planning Board
- 151 3) The Village Building Permit

152

- 153 Bold stated if they could get through the minor project condition, you would be able to attach
- 154 other conditions on the special permit for anything that is jurisdictional like the permit from the
- 155 Army Corp and limited construction. Schleelein said that is a problem and concerns her.

Bold stated that there are fingers in the wetland stuck in the back of the three buildings that prevents them from starting construction. Schleelein stated that the whole road needs to go in. Bold feels it is a timing issue. Bold stated that the Army Corp will reissue the same permit. He feels it is an administrative issue and believes it the same conversation Cross had with them. Bold stated that there is nothing that would prohibit the Army Corp from reissuing the permit except Cross and Bold understands that Cross wants to have input. Cross said no one asked for the input or the right questions when the permit was submitted.

164
165 Cross was concerned with the wetland relocation and the qual

165 Cross was concerned with the wetland relocation and the quality of the drainage and how it may 166 impact the people living downstream because of no stormwater drainage plan. Schleelein said 167 there was supposed to be a stormwater drainage plan in place last April. Cross stated that there was confusion and a belief that McFarland & Johnson believes they were exempt from getting 169 the approval from the Village. The original stormwater plan issued 10 years ago. At the time it 170 was issued, the Village gave their MS4 certification sign off and there was no design for the 171 residential portion. To move forward within the residential portion they would be doing so 172 without approval. Cross asked how McFarland & Johnson believed that or led Arrowhead to 173 believe that they are covered by a permit or that the notice of intent was filed for the project for 174 that portion. He doesn't know.

175

Schleelein stated that in April they adopted Special Permit 4123 and one of the conditions before the Building Permit can be issued was approval by the Village engineer of the stormwater plan. Cross stated that they did not put the request in for him to review the plan.

179

180 Troy read from the PDA and said that nowhere does it state that buying credit somewhere else is 181 an option for removing existing wetland. Bold said there have been many conversations about 182 reducing wetland onsite and relocating them and the Board approved those plans. Schleelein 183 disagreed and said this has been going on for 10 years.

184

185 Cross told Bold if the Army Corp of Engineers wants to they can eliminate the wetland but it
186 does not get rid of the condition on the PDA. Bold apologizes that they were not direct regarding
187 the elimination of the bird habitat and increasing the density for more property to build more
188 buildings. Bold asked how do we find a way to move forward. Schleelein said this is nine or ten
189 times this plan has been changed. She wants to see that all of the infrastructure is installed.
190 Greenwald said a new PDA could be revised and restricted to include these conditions.
191 Schleelein said she wants to get a plan approved so everyone can move on.

192

Schleelein asked where the current stormwater drainage plan stands. Cross said he will get with McFarland & Johnson and when it gets to the point of moving forward, he will review and give Scott an opinion about the compliance and sign the DEC MS-4 certification to amend the old permit or obtain a new permit. Cross said once it is approved, the open spaces for future development will have the same conditions and will need to apply for stormwater drainage. Bold said the stormwater needs to drain down away from the residents for any new development and a new permit would have to be submitted. Cross wants a site review for any future development and a new site work permit will have to be submitted. Bold believes a special permit is for

building, not site work. Scott told him there is a site work permit that will be needed. Cross said he reached out to DEC and they do not claim jurisdiction to the area.

203

Bold asked to move forward with the plan so construction can start as the time is running out to meet the 2020 deadline with the IDA. Schleelein asked Bold what happens if they don't get the permit. Bold said then it will be one building and land. He said the construction could move forward and the developer could pay back the IDA but, he doesn't want to. Bold said they may have to if they don't meet the deadline. Troy told Bold the IDA could sue the developer if the agreement is not met. Baker asked if they could find another way to fund the project. He is concerned that the project would end up being one or two buildings and then open space.

211

212 Greenwald said she is not in favor of any more changes and would like the Planning Board to 213 recommend to the Trustees to make A-1 residential and limit the buildings. Greenwald feels the 214 project it not going in the direction that everyone wants it to go and she cannot support it.

215

216 Moll asked if the construction could continue. Bold said not until the Army Corp permit is in 217 place. Moll said she is concerned that this is just Phase I and they will continue with changes. 218 She feels this is not a minor change.

219

Bold stated that there is no difference between the triplex and duplexes. It's all the same density. Bold asked the Board if they feel it is a minor or major change and where do they go from here?

222

Greenwald passed a motion that it a major change and Moll seconded it. Schleelein said a 40 % change is a major change. Schleelein stated she is concerned because the expiration from the Army Corp of Engineers is running out. Bold said the Army Corp does not know why they wouldn't reissue the permit that was already issued. Cross said it was because they have no interest in the Village. Cross told Bold we have no control over what the Army Corp of Engineers demands and *vice versa*. Arrowhead has an obligation to the Village. Cross said that if there were revisions and other conversations on the Trustee level that the Planning Board was unaware. Cross asked Bold to please clarify that.

231

Goetzmann said in 2012 a proposal for 12 units was submitted. He then came back to the Trustees to get more houses on the property. Cross asked if there has been a modification to the PDA. Goetzmann said he made the property smaller by taking part of the wetland off the property and yes, several plans have been submitted. Goetzman is working with the Trustees and the Army Corp of Engineers for the 12 units and wants to move forward.

237

Schleelein wants to table this until after the IDA meeting. If Arrowhead does not want to wait then maybe the Planning Board should have a performance bond with no concessions. Schleelein said she will keep the public hearing open until after the IDA meeting. There were no objections. Bold stated that he would submit a copy of the IDA to the Planning Board.

- 243 Cross stated that whatever the plan is that will be approved he needs to sign off on the
- 244 stormwater drainage plan in order for the permit to be issued. Cross will work with the Army
- 245 Corp regarding the stormwater and Scott for the permits.

246

247 Schleelein stated that the public hearing would remain open.

248

- 249 Continue Public Hearing for Special Permit #4233 Proposed by Finger Lakes Tram LLC.
- The applicants are seeking approval for installing an approximately 200 ft. long tram system
- 251 which includes a hoist drum/frame and cabin to hold up to 4 people. The tram, located at 1510
- 252 East Shore Drive (Tax Parcel #42.1-1-46.11), will run from the existing home down to the shore
- 253 level of Cayuga Lake.

254

- 255 Schleelein stated that the Planning Board will be doing the short EAF and resolution for the tram
- 256 proposal. Cross asked Yadong Wang what the slope for the side elevation and rail is. He said 19
- 257 feet off the ground and he handed out a picture of what it will look like. It is very safe and the
- 258 code has been met. Schleelein asked if we needed to wait for the Engineers. Scott said
- 259 discussions were done on what color it would be so that it's disguised and blends in and
- 260 everyone said they would like to see it black. Scott stated that the 239 has been satisfied.

261

263

264

268

269

270

271272

273274

275

276

277278

279

- 262 Schleelein went over the short EAF dated June 6, 2019.
 - VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR SEQR REVIEW OF SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 4233 ADOPTED ON JUNE 10, 2019
- 265 Motion made by: Michael Baker
- 266 Motion seconded by: Jim McCauley

267 WHEREAS:

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: Special Permit No. 4233, for the proposal by Yadong Wang to install a 200' tram system, which includes a hoist drum, cabin (for 1-4 passengers), carriage and track with pilings every 10' horizontal distance to transport passengers from upper backyard to bottom of hill with uploading stations at top and bottom as well as an egress stair system installed on the side of the tram tracks, utilizing the same sub-structure (pilings and cross bars) that support the tram by Yadong Wang, who is the owner, at 1510 East Shore Drive, Ithaca, New York (Tax Parcel #42.1-1-46.112); and
- B. On June 10, 2019, the Village of Lansing Planning Board, in performing the lead agency function for its independent and uncoordinated environmental review in accordance with Article 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQR"), (i) determined that the proposed action provided for herein is an Unlisted Action in accordance with SEQR; (ii) thoroughly reviewed the Short

Environmental Assessment Form (the "Short EAF"), and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review; (iii) completed its thorough analysis of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c); and (iv) completed the Short EAF); and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Village of Lansing Planning Board, based upon (i) its thorough review of the Short EAF, and any and all other documents prepared and submitted with respect to this proposed action and its environmental review; and (ii) its thorough review of the potential relevant areas of environmental concern to determine if the proposed action may have a significant adverse impact on the environment, including the criteria identified in 6 NYCRR Section 617.7(c), hereby makes a negative determination of environmental significance ("NEGATIVE DECLARATION") in accordance with SEQR for the above referenced proposed action, and determines that an Environmental Impact Statement will not be required; and
- 2. The Responsible Officer of the Village of Lansing Planning Board is hereby authorized and directed to complete and sign as required the Short EAF, confirming the foregoing **NEGATIVE DECLARATION**, which fully completed and signed Short EAF shall be attached to and made a part of this Resolution.
- 300 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:
- 301 AYES: Lisa Schleelein, Monica Moll, Carolyn Greenwald, Jim McCauley and Michael Baker
- 302 NAYS: None

286

287

288

289 290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

- 303 The motion was declared to be carried.
- 304 Schleelein asked for a motion to close the public hearing. Moll motioned and McCauley
- 305 seconded.

307

- 306 AYES: Schleelein, Baker, Greenwald, Moll, McCauley and Ingraffea.
- 308 Schleelein read the general conditions and asked for a motion in favor that all the conditions are approved.
- 310 Baker motioned and Moll seconded
- 311 AYES: Schleelein, Greenwald, McCauley, Baker, Moll and Ingraffea.
- 313 VILLAGE OF LANSING PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION FOR TRAM INSTALLATION
 314 APPROVAL OF YADONG WANG FOR SPECIAL

PERMIT NO. 4233 ADOPTED ON JUNE 10, 2019

316 Motion made by: Michael Baker

317 Motion seconded by: Monica Moll

318 WHEREAS:

315

319

320

321

322323

324325

326

327

328

329330

331332

333334

335

336

337

339

340

341

- A. This matter involves consideration of the following proposed action: installation of a 200' tram system, which includes a hoist drum, cabin (for 1-4 passengers), carriage and track with pilings every 10' horizontal distance to transport passengers from upper backyard to bottom of hill with uploading stations at top and bottom as well as an egress stair system installed on the side of the tram tracks, utilizing the same sub-structure (pilings and cross bars) that support the tram by Yadong Wang, who is the owner, at 1510 East Shore Drive, Ithaca, New York (Tax Parcel #42.1-1-46.112).
 - B. The proposed action is deemed by the Village of Lansing Planning Board to be an unlisted action on June 10, 2019, a Short Environmental Assessment Form has been submitted by applicant and reviewed by the Village of Lansing Planning Board which made a negative decision of environmental significance ("Negative Declaration") for the proposed action, and determined that an Environmental Impact Statement will not be required.
 - C. On June 10, 2019, the Village of Lansing Planning Board has thoroughly reviewed and analyzed (i) the proposed construction and accompanying materials and information presented by and on behalf of the applicant in support of this proposed action, including information and materials related to environmental issues, if any, which the Board deemed necessary or appropriate for its review; (ii) all other information and materials rightfully before the Board; and (iii) all issues raised during the public hearing and/or otherwise raised in the course of the Board's deliberations;

338 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

- Based upon all of its foregoing review and action, it is hereby determined by the Village
 of Lansing Planning Board that approval of the proposed Yadong Wang tram installation
 is GRANTED with the condition that the color of the equipment will be black or brown,
- 342 The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:
- 343 AYES: Lisa Schleelein, Monica Moll, Carolyn Greenwald, Jim McCauley and Michael Baker
- 344 NAYS: None
- 345 The motion was declared to be carried.

347 General Planning Session 348 Greenwald wanted to discuss the noise ordinance issue. Cross stated it is a zoning issue and 349 proof has to be made if they are treated like commercial instead of residential. Scott stated again, that there is a process that needs to take place and he will send the letter to them regarding the 351 issue and if there were any violations. 352 353 Trustee Report: 354 McCauley stated that the Mayor was not present for the meeting. McCauley reported that they 355 talked about trying to contact the owner of West Graham Road for a response and no response 356 has been received. They will be sending a second letter if they do not receive a response after that they will pursue eminent domain to take over the road. For a complete report of the meeting please see the Trustee minutes. 358 359 360 **Approval of the minutes:** 361 None 362 363 **Adjournment:** Greenwald moved to adjourn at 9:34pm. Seconded by Baker. 364 AYES: Schleelein, Baker, Greenwald, Moll, McCauley and Ingraffea. 366

367 Minutes taken by: Tina Freelove, PT Clerk